UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 09-0775 SBA
Plaintiff, v. Juan Lopez +) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) FILED
Juan Lope z + Glendy Gone? Defendants	JUN 20 2012
For the reason stated by the parties on the Trial Act from <u>Ture 20</u> , 2012, to continuance outweigh the best interest of 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this find	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE PUBLIC OF THE COURT OF THE COU
See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)	uance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (7)(B)(I).
or law, that it is unreason	r so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact nable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial its established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continutaking into account the e	nance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, xercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continu counsel's other scheduled See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)	nance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given d case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
necessary for effective pr	rance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 7)(B)(iv), especially given the need to obtain state cart records for defendant topes.
For the reasons stated on t	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED:, 2012	Hon. Kandis Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Babcock Randall Kn Attorney for Defendant 5	Ox Christian Meal I Assistant United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney